

The Sensitivity Matrix

Integrating Perception into the Flexcode Project

Jan Plasberg Flexcode Seminar Lannion June 6, 2007







- Flexcode in a Nutshell
- The Sensitivity Matrix
- Coding with the Sensitivity Matrix
- Open Issues













- Heterogeneity of networks increasing
- Networks inherently variable (mobile users)
- But:
 - Coders not designed for specific environment
 - Coders inflexible (codebooks and FEC)
 - Feedback channel underutilized

Adaptation and Coding





SIP - Sound and Image Processing Lab, EE, KTH Stockholm

FlexCode





- Tools include
 - Models of source, channel, receiver
 - High-rate quantization theory
 - Multiple description coding (MDC)
 - Iterative source-channel decoding
 - Distortion measures using the sensitivity matrix

FlexCode





- Flexcode in a Nutshell
- The Sensitivity Matrix
 - Why, what and how?
 - What can it tell us?
- Coding with the Sensitivity Matrix
- Open Issues





Simultaneous masking (frequency masking)









- Not accounted for by simple auditory models
- Coding standards use (heuristic) work-arounds





- Merge the worlds of auditory modeling and audio coding
- Advanced auditory models too complex for coding





Sensitivity Matrix M from Taylor expansion

Complexity problem solved!

Analysis of model properties using linear algebra

• Masking curve

FlexCode

Subspace-based analysis



The Sensitivity Matrix



• A typical auditory model (here: the Dau model)



• Distortion is sum of per-channel distortions







 Find sensitivity matrix for model output y per channel m

$$d^{(m)}(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}})^H \mathbf{M}_y^{(m)}(\mathbf{y}) (\mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}})$$

here $\mathbf{M}_{y}^{(m)}(\mathbf{y}) = 2\mathbf{I}$







 Each model stage linearized by respective Jacobian

$$\partial \mathbf{z} \longrightarrow \mathbf{J}_{z}(\mathbf{z}) \longrightarrow \partial \mathbf{y}$$

FlexCode Application to an Auditory Model





Get sensitivity matrix for channel *m* in input signal domain from chain rule;

$$\mathbf{M}_{x}^{(m)}(x) = 2 \left[\prod_{k} \mathbf{J}_{k}^{(m)} \right]^{H} \prod_{k} \mathbf{J}_{k}^{(m)}$$

FlexCode Application to an Auditory Model





• Sensitivity Matrix is sum of per-channel matrices

$$\mathbf{M}_{x}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{m} \mathbf{M}_{x}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) = 2\sum_{m} \left[\prod_{k} \mathbf{J}_{k}^{(m)}\right]^{H} \prod_{k} \mathbf{J}_{k}^{(m)}$$



SIP - Sound and Image Processing Lab, EE, KTH Stockholm



• Sensitivity matrix $M_X(X)$ for frequency domain vectors, with F = DFT in matrix notation

$$(\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}) = \mathbf{F}^H (\mathbf{X} - \hat{\mathbf{X}})$$

$$\mathbf{M}_{X}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{F} \mathbf{M}_{X}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{F}^{H}$$

FlexCode



- Assume masking threshold is at $d(\mathbf{X}, \hat{\mathbf{X}}) = 1$
- Masking curve at frequency *i*:
 gain g_i for unit distortion in DFT bin *i*

FlexCode

$$\mathbf{u}_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}^H$$

to approach masking threshold

$$1 = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}_i^H \mathbf{M}_X(\mathbf{X}) \mathbf{u}_i g_i$$
$$\Leftrightarrow g_i = \frac{2}{\left[\mathbf{M}_X(\mathbf{X})\right]_{i,i}}$$



• Masking curve for 50 dB sinusoid at 1000 Hz



FlexCode Example 2: Non-Simultaneous Masking



• Subspace analysis of **M**: low sensitivity space



FlexCode A Spectro-Temporal Experiment



• Spectrograms for two sinusoids (200 Hz and 700 Hz) coded with an APCM coder



SIP - Sound and Image Processing Lab, EE, KTH Stockholm







- Flexcode in a Nutshell
- The Sensitivity Matrix
- Coding with the Sensitivity Matrix
- Open Issues





- Sensitivity Matrix generally not diagonal in typical coding domains (e.g., time, frequency, etc.)
 - distortion not single-letter:

$$d(\mathbf{x}, \hat{\mathbf{x}}) \approx \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}})^H \mathbf{M}_x(\mathbf{x}) (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}})$$

- no analytical solution known for optimal coding
- so far only trained VQ available (undoable for large dimensions)!





- Sensitivity Matrix diagonal in perceptual domain
 - transform signal vectors into perceptual domain
 - (W)MSE-optimal coding in perceptual domain results in minimum perceptual distortion
- Optimal Transform:

$$\mathbf{F}_{opt}'^{T}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{F}_{opt}'(\mathbf{x}) = c\mathbf{M}_{x}(\mathbf{x})$$





- Problem: optimal transform depends on **x**
 - not known at decoder!
- Solution: Parameterize transform as DCT + diagonal weights

$$\mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{W}_f \mathbf{T}_{DCT} \mathbf{W}_t$$

- combines DCT coding gain with perceptual transform!
- Model-driven approach to temporal noise shaping (TNS)







• Original



- Freq. weights $\P(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{W}_f \mathbf{T}_{DCT}$
- Time-freq. weights

 $\mathbf{F'}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{W}_f \mathbf{T}_{DCT} \mathbf{W}_t$







- Flexcode in a Nutshell
- The Sensitivity Matrix
- Coding with the Sensitivity Matrix
- Open Issues





• Main complexity lies here:

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x}) = 2\sum_{m} \left[\prod_{k} \mathbf{J}_{k}^{(m)}\right]^{H} \prod_{k} \mathbf{J}_{k}^{(m)}$$

- Can we make multiplications 'sparse'?
- Can we reduce the size of the matrices?





- Using auditory models in coding is possible
- General method
- Direct applicability in AbS structures (CELP)
- Solution outline for transform coding







Thank you!